

Audrey Gun Tim Bennett Lauren Deaver

This project was completed for academic purposes only. while it contains a research component, its purpose is to demonstrate academic progress.

Introduction

There has been extensive research by many in the past into body fat percentage measurement methods as well as these measurements. It is historically proven that the common method of BMI is not a good measurement of this, as it is often found that male and female college athletes BMI are significantly higher than non-athletes (Ode et al., 2007). With this in mind, there were many other methods that proved to be more reliable when compared to the golden standard, the bod pod.



Female Body Types

These methods include bioelectrical impedance (BIA) measurements, and waist-to-hip ratio. For our study, we decided to use three different types of BIA measurements including the handheld BIA, standup BIA, and the electrode BIA, the waist-to hip ratio, and the BodPod to compare between male athletes and non-athletes and female athletes and non-athletes.

Hypothesis

It is hypothesized that participation in collegiate sports positively impacts the body composition through increasing fat-free mass and decreasing fat mass in males and females when compared to non-athletes.

Methods

The participants were 8 males (4 collegiate athletes; 4 collegiate nonathletes) and 8 females (4 collegiate athletes; 4 collegiate nonathletes). All participants were between 18-22 years of age. Participants confirmed they had no existing health conditions that would alter test results. Exclusion criteria were diabetes and hyperthyroidism.



Testing procedure was explained to the participants and they were informed they could terminate the procedure at any time they wished. Participants were emailed 24 hours prior and asked to fast for 9 hours prior to testing, refrain from exercise, hydrate normally, and wear either compression shorts or spandex and a sports bra for the BodPod

Female BodPod Clothing

Do gender and collegiate sport participation correlate with healthier body composition in collegiate subjects?

Department of Exercise Science





Male Body Types



Male BodPod Clothing



In table 1, males typically were closer to the minimum whereas women were closer to the maximum. All body composition methods were significantly correlated to each other. Table 2 correlated no significant body fat distinctions between college-age athletes and non-athletes for both genders. Figure 1 shows average male athlete body fat was measured lower than non-athlete males by all the methods except for the BodPod. Female athlete average body fat composition was measured to be equal to or lesser than female non-athlete body composition with the biggest difference as 5% more body fat on the BIA: Stand-up.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of All Participants

	–							
		Ν		Minimu	um Ma	aximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
BodPod			16	8	3.20	38.20	21.3188	8.73561
Handheld Bl	A		16	8	3.80	38.40	20.5563	9.20920
Stand-up Bl/	Ą		16	15	5.50	44.70	26.1125	8.89051
Electrode Bl	A		16	8	3.40	35.50	21.6688	9.18794
Waist-to-Hei	ght		16	16	6.30	33.80	23.6250	5.66657
Table 2: C	Correlatio	n of E	Body	Comp	osition t	o Activit	y Status by	Gender
		į	Code	BodPod	Handheld E	3IA Stand-up	BIA Electrode B	IA Waist-to-Height
Male Athlete	Pearson Cor	relation	1	110	.476	.344	.351	.110
VS Male Non- Athlete	Sig. (2-tailed	ig. (2-tailed)		.795	.233	.404	.394	.795
	N		8	8	8	8	8	8
Female Athlete VS Female Non- Athlete	Pearson Correlation		1	.113	.045	.329	.064	026
	Sig. (2-tailed	(2-tailed)		.789	.915	.427	.880	.951
	N		8	8	8	8	8	8

	-					-			
		N		Minimu	um Ma	aximum	1	Mean	Std. Deviation
BodPod			16	8	3.20	38.20		21.3188	8.73561
Handheld Bl	A		16	8	3.80	38.40	;	20.5563	9.20920
Stand-up Bl	A		16	15	5.50	44.70		26.1125	8.89051
Electrode BIA			16	8	3.40	35.50	21.6688		9.18794
Waist-to-Height			16	16	6.30	33.80		23.6250	5.66657
Table 2: C	Correlat	ion of]	Body	Comp	osition t	o Activit	ty S	tatus by	Gender
			Code	BodPod	Handheld B	IA Stand-up	BIA	Electrode BIA	A Waist-to-Height
Male Athlete VS Male Non- Athlete	Pearson (Correlation	1	110	.476	.344	1	.351	.110
	Sig. (2-tai	led)		.795	.233	.404		.394	.795
	N		8	8	8	8	1	8	8
Female Athlete VS Female Non- Athlete	Pearson (Correlation	1	.113	.045	.329	2	.064	026
	Sig. (2-tai	led)		.789	.915	.427		.880	.951
	N		8	8	8	8		8	8
	7/2/2	15	1732 1333	1 2 2	1				- 11

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed) *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)





Electrode BIA

Results Continued



No significant differences were found in body composition between college-age athletes and non-athletes for both genders. Some reasons why this could be the case are small sample size, active "non-athletes" throwing off results, and/or a true lack of difference. The small sample size could skew the results because higher variability is possible with less data points. Too many active "non-athletes" could skew the study because their body compositions may reflect more of an athlete than traditional nonathlete. A true lack of difference of body composition in college-age people may be the case as the results show.

Body Fat Rating	Men*	Women*
Risky (high body fat)	>30%	>40%
Excess Fat	21-30%	31-40%
Moderately Lean	13-20%	23-30%
Lean	9-12%	19-22%
Ultra Lean	5-8%	15-18%
Risky (low body fat)	<5%	<15%

*Adapted from (BodPod Weight Chart, 2019)

In conclusion, no results were found to support the hypothesis that fat-free mass is greater and fat mass lower in both male and female athletes when compared to nonathletes of the same gender.

Ashtary-Larky, D., Nazary Vanani, A., Hosseini, S. A., Rafie, R., Abbasnezhad, A., & Alipour, M. (2018). Relationship between the body fat percentage and Anthropometric measurements in Athletes compared with Non-Athletes. Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 20(2). doi:10.5812/zjrms.10422 BodPod Weight Chart. (2019). Retrieved April 27, 2021, from http://portlandbodpod.com/bod-pod-weight-chart Fornetti, Willa C., Pivarnik, James M., Foley, Jeanne M., & Fiechtner, Justus J. (1999). Reliability and validity of body composition measures in female athletes. *Journal of Applied Physiolgy*, 87(3), p. 1114-1122. Doi: 10.1152/jappl.1999.87.3.1114 Malina, R.M. (1989). Growth and maturation: Normal variation and effect of training. *Youth, Exercise, and Sport, 2*(1), 223–265. Midorikawa, T., Sekiguchi, O., Beekley, M.D., Bemben, M.G., & Abe, T. (2006). A comparison of organ-tissue level body composition between college-age male athletes and nonathletes. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 28(2), 100-105. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-924139 Ode, J. J., Pivarink, J. M., Reeves, M. J., & Knous, J. L. (2007). Body mass index as a predictor of percent fat in college athletes and Nonathletes. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 39*(3), 403-409. doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000247008.19127.3e



Discussion

Figure 1 shows both male and female athletes and non-athletes were measured to be in the moderately lean category for their respective gender (BodPod Weight Chart, 2019). With all categories given the same classification of body fat rating, the lack of significant correlation found is explained.

References